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Abstract—Model based development aims to facilitate the development of embedded control systems by emphasizing the separation of the design level from the implementation level. Model based design involves the use of multiple models that represent different views of a system, having different semantics of abstract system descriptions. Usually, in mechatronics systems, design proceeds by iterating model construction, model analysis, and model transformation. Constructing a MATLAB/Simulink® model, a plant and controller behavior is simulated using graphical blocks to represent mathematical and logical constructs and process flow, then software code is generated. A Simulink model is a representation of the design or implementation of a physical system that satisfies a set of requirements. A software component-based system aims to organize system architecture and behaviour as a means of computation, communication and constraints, using computational blocks and aggregates for both discrete and continuous behaviour, different interconnection and execution disciplines for event-based and time-based controllers, and so on, to encompass the demands to more functionality, at even lower prices, and with opposite constraints. COMDES (Component-based Design of Software for Distributed Embedded Systems) is such a component-based system framework developed by the software engineering group of Mads Clausen Institute for Product Innovation (MCI), University of Southern Denmark.

Once specified, the software model has to be analyzed. One way of doing that is to integrate in wrapper files the model back into Simulink S-functions, and use its extensive simulation features, thus allowing an early exploration of the possible design choices over multiple disciplines.

The paper describes a safe translation of a restricted set of MATLAB/Simulink blocks to COMDES software components, both for continuous and discrete behaviour, and the transformation of the software system into the S-functions. The general aim of this work is the improvement of multi-disciplinary development of embedded systems with the focus on the relation between control engineering and software engineering.

Index Terms—component-based design, MATLAB/Simulink, model-based design, model transformation, software engineering, software architecture

I. INTRODUCTION

SIMULINK is a design-based modeling tool, even a framework, created by MathWorks, that uses graphical blocks to represent mathematical and logical constructs and process flow [2], for the modelling, simulation and analysis of dynamic systems. To the control system engineers the structure and content of the system representation in Simulink is intrinsic and intuitive and the design can be layered depending on the analysis level required.

Simulink is a block diagram industry oriented standard tool for simulating mixed reactive/transformative, nonlinear dynamic systems that builds on the MATLAB environment for technical computing. A Simulink model is a representation of the design or implementation of a system that satisfies a set of requirements. For many years Simulink has been the tool of choice for much of the control industry, by many considered a de-facto standard, to develop both physical and control system models, in terms of stability, response time, overshoot, etc [2,6], and is maturing into the new generation of systems engineering, representative of an advanced approach to design. The main attraction of Simulink has been its flexibility and the range of toolboxes available to aid control system design, development and calibration.

COMDES (as a family of versions) is a component-based framework intended for design and analysis of embedded control systems [1]. Specific for COMDES is the design of the control system from prefabricated components, and then analyzing the executable models that constitute its configuration specification. COMDES offers strong typing, explicit initialization, explicit time management (delays, clocks, etc), and simple expression of concurrency (data dependencies), based on a well-defined control and data-flow representation. By means of a graphical signal flow graph editor, it supports model-based development. The end result is an integrated process of software development, featuring model-based configuration and analysis of embedded applications that can be characterized by the sentence:

What you specify is what you verify, execute and test.

COMDES (as in its last version COMDES-II) uses an actor diagram that represents subsystems (actors) and the signals exchanged between them within the corresponding distributed transaction. An actor encapsulates state and exhibits behaviour. Individual actor behaviour is described by means of reactive behaviour, which is usually specified with a state-machine model, and continuous behaviour, i.e. some numerical (conditioned) processing, that is specified as a function block diagram. The atomic software unit is a function block. Function blocks are reusable system components, which eventually enable the reconfiguration of system structure. Ultimately, the system actor diagram is transformed into a function block design for control and data.

Although the different disciplines are tightly coupled in the considered embedded systems, their development is often a rather sequential, mono-disciplinary, process.
Typically, first the control part is designed, next the hardware infrastructure is fixed, and finally the embedded software is developed. This approach can create large problems, especially for the software engineers. For instance, choices about the placements of sensors (and implicitly the occurrence of interrupts), control rates, control delays, hardware, etc., have a strong influence on the complexity of the software. Moreover, usually many implicit assumptions are made, which first become visible at system integration. This easily leads to non-optimal solutions.

Within each discipline, a common solution is the frequent use of models to detect problems as early as possible. Using the model-based engineering paradigm for the design of a system, the models are preferably specified using domain-specific modelling formalisms. For instance, in the software domain a lot of effort is put on model driven development, based on component models. Moreover, mono-disciplinary modeling is usually supported by tools that allow some form of execution or simulation. Lacking, however, is the possibility to combine tools of different disciplines, to investigate the mutual influence of modeling choices. Our aim is to couple currently used tools to allow both automatic translation of the Simulink models to COMDES component-based models, but also simulation of the transformed software models into the Simulink setup [10,11]. By means of co-simulation, the component models can be combined to obtain a better overall system behavior.

II. MODEL-BASED DESIGN IN CONTROL AND SOFTWARE

Model-based design provides a proven technique for creating embedded control systems. Model-based system development is a change of focus from arithmetic and data related issues to the overall architecture of software system. It is a more effective approach to increase system functionality and reliability, and to decrease development cost and time.

The design of control algorithms is a fundamental part of the design flow. It starts from a functional specification and ends up with a detailed description of the algorithms. In the model-based design methodology, the part of the control algorithm that is mapped to the software partition is automatically translated from a model representation to a set of software components. The software architecture of the application will accommodate and compose together those software components such that the real-time requirements are met. In the proposed design flow, the control algorithms are captured using the MATLAB/Simulink design environment and the automatic translation of the model to COMDES-language code is performed according to a set of rules, described in a following section.

A Simulink model is represented graphically by means of a number of interconnected blocks. Lines between blocks connect block outputs to block inputs. Blocks may have states, which may consist of a discrete-time and a continuous-time part.

The output of a block is computed by an output function, based on its input and its current state and time. Similarly, an update function calculates the next discrete state. A derivative function relates the derivatives of the continuous part of the state to time and the current values of the inputs and the state.

Blocks can be built from a large number of predefined library blocks, or they can be implemented by an S-function, which can be written in MATLAB, C, C++, Ada, or Fortran. During the simulation of a Simulink model, the outputs, inputs and states are computed at certain intervals, from a start time to an end time, as specified by the user. The successive states of a system are computed by a so-called solver, a Simulink-specific program.

Since no solver is suitable for all models, there are several types of solvers. The solvers use numerical integration to compute the continuous states of a system from the state derivatives specified by the model. Each solver uses a different integration method, allowing the selection of the most suitable method for a particular model.

The successive time points at which the states and outputs are computed are called step times. The length of time between steps is called step size. The step size depends on the type of the solver used, the characteristics of the Simulink model, and the existence of discontinuities of the continuous states (Simulink checks for such discontinuities – this is called zero crossing detection – and if it detects one within the current step, the precise time at which zero crossing occurs is determined and additional time steps are taken).

There are several types of solvers. Fixed-step solvers use a fixed step size. Variable-step solvers change the step size during simulation. They reduce the step size to increase accuracy when states are changing rapidly and increasing the step size to avoid taking unnecessary steps when states are changing slowly. This requires some additional computation each step, to determine the step size, but can reduce the total number of steps and hence the duration of the simulation.

For purely discrete models there are discrete solvers. Continuous solvers compute continuous states using numerical integration. Simulink provides an extensive set of fixed-step and variable-step continuous solvers, each implementing a specific numerical integration technique for solving the ordinary differential equations that represent the continuous states of dynamic systems. The solvers monitor the error at each time step; they compute the local error, which is the estimated error of the computed state values. If the local error is greater than the acceptable error for any state, the solver reduces the step size and tries again.

Simulation of a Simulink model starts with the initialization phase, where e.g. library blocks are incorporated, block parameters are evaluated, memory is allocated and the execution order of the blocks is determined. Next, Simulink enters a simulation loop, consisting of simulation steps. During each simulation step, Simulink executes all blocks of the model in the order determined during initialization. This execution order does not change during the simulation. For each block, Simulink calls functions that compute the block's states, derivatives, and outputs for the current sample time. This continues until the simulation is complete.

COMDES (Component based design of software for Distributed Embedded System) which is being developed at MCI, University of Southern Denmark, is an instance of
component based design, which is a software design method for real-time embedded systems. COMDES is an executable visual model for embedded control systems. It mirrors the architecture of the control process itself, which is made up of a number of independent control modules, called function blocks, with a top-level module controller, wired by signals, such as pressure, temperature, etc. The COMDES system design is meant to be a diagram of components together with a description of their interconnection topology and/or state based behavior. The native timing execution of the model is a periodically clocked events pattern (under a more general paradigm called timed multitasking). [1].

III. TRANSLATION OF SIMULINK MODELS TO COMDES MODELS

Our approach to translate bottom-up and hierarchical the controller part of the Simulink design, covering functional and timing aspects, is based on:

- mapping tables between a safe set of Simulink blocks and the corresponding COMDES function block model.
- a set of translation rules formulated for both Stateflow and Simulink conditional blocks for converting of some implicitly behaviour into an explicitly one:
  - derive COMDES state machine model out of the Stateflow state machine, associated input and output variables and conditional blocks like Switch, Multiport switch, and
  - associate the continuous part of Simulink to the states of the COMDES state machine model in terms of the function blocks (basic and composite, respectively).
- mapping of types and timing constraints
- translation of the Simulink design into the COMDES software design using an intermediate XML-based model, which represents a COMDES model in terms of class, attributes, and node-based hierarchies, according to the rules established in the previous steps.

The semantic of Simulink is multiple, as presented in the previous section, dependent on user-defined options, like that of the simulation method. Simulink has a problem with the typing (weak), and lacks modularity sometimes. COMDES design and implementation has to filter out Simulink ambiguities, and to serve as a reliable middle layer for safety critical applications.

We start our translation with a Simulink model, consisting of two parts: a discrete-time part describing the controller and a continuous-time (or perhaps discrete-time) part containing the environment in which the controller is supposed to operate. Modeling both the controller and the environment is of course essential for studying the properties of the controller by simulation. Once the design is validated by various simulation methods, the implementation of the controller can start. Fig. 1 shows a glance of how they look like, i.e. Simulink and COMDES models. One of the actors of the COMDES model is called Controller, and is namely the result of the translation from the Simulink model. The software model is having other actors like Sensor, for reading the inputs, Actuator, for actuating the outputs, and Operator Station, for man-machine interface and communication, which are not functionally derived but timing constrained in the translation.

Both Simulink and COMDES allow the representation of signals and systems, more precisely, multi-periodic sampled systems. The two languages share strong similarities, such as a data-flow model and similar abstraction mechanisms (basic and composite components). However, there are several differences:

1. COMDES has discrete-time semantics, whereas Simulink has continuous-time semantics. It is important to note that even the discrete-time library of Simulink blocks produce piece-wise constant continuous-time signals. In a continuous-time model, signals continuously vary with time and the blocks respond to continuously changing input. In a discrete-time model, signals are sampled at discrete time intervals; input and output take place in cycles.
2. COMDES has a unique, precise semantics. The semantics of Simulink depends on the choice of a simulation method. For instance, some models are accepted if one chooses variable-step simulation and rejected if one chooses fixed-step, auto, or multithreaded simulation.
3. COMDES is a strongly-typed system with explicit type set on each flow. In Simulink, explicit types are not mandatory. A type-checking mechanism exists in Simulink (some models are rejected due to type errors) but, as with the execution semantics, it can be modified by the user by setting some flags.
4. COMDES is modular in certain aspects, whereas Simulink is not: for instance, a Simulink model may contain implicit inputs (the sampling periods of a system and its sub-systems, which are not always inherited).

Given the above differences, the goals and limitations of our translation when mapping a Simulink block-diagram into COMDES elements are the following:

1. We only translate a discrete-time, non-ambiguous part of Simulink. In particular, we do not translate blocks of the continuous-time library, S-functions, or MATLAB functions. Each Simulink block is mapped into one COMDES block, according to built mapping tables. The Simulink model to be translated is assumed to be (part of) the controller embedded in a larger model (including the environment).
2. The translation is restricted to the following simulation method of the solver: fixed-step, discrete and mode: auto. We assume that the Simulink model to be translated has the boolean logic signals flag.
ON. Then, a requirement on the translator is to perform exactly the same type inference as Simulink. In particular, every model that is accepted by Simulink must also be accepted by the translator and vice versa.

3. The COMDES program must be run at the time period the Simulink model was simulated. Thus, an outcome of the translation must be the period at which the COMDES program shall be run (i.e., the period of the basic clock). To know the period at which the Simulink model was simulated, we assume that for every external input of the model to be translated the sampling time is explicitly specified. Simulink concept of periodic execution is maintained for time-continuous blocks, as they can be mapped to COMDES in the same way as blocks with a discrete sample time.

For reasons of traceability, the translation must preserve the hierarchy of the Simulink model as much as possible. The task of finding a good granularity is also important for an efficient translation. Simulink ports are mapped into Sensor, Actuator, and Operator Station actors of the COMDES model. Simulink edges are mapped into COMDES signals, so that to maintain Simulink destructive write, nondestructive read, and broadcast semantics. Simulink model of computation is thus transformed into a state oriented data-driven model, with partial ordering between blocks, which is supported by COMDES. In this respect, we follow, in general, the ideas from [4,5,7], for graph transformation in terms of states and dataflow, instantiated to component-based diagrams embedded into states, and intend to use in the future the tool GREat [8] to automate the process.

IV. INTEGRATION OF THE COMDES BLOCKS INTO S-FUNCTIONS

In order for the simulation to capture accurate behavior of the subsystem software in real time, it is essential that the same software to be modeled in the simulation setup as well. Simulink uses block diagrams to model dynamic systems and provides an environment that allows simulation of COMDES component-based models by converting of software components into custom S-functions. Simulink provides the necessary templates to create an S-function, and the software models will be executed within the Simulink shell as S-functions.

An S-function is a system function that has been compiled to run in the Simulink environment. On a Microsoft Windows® platform, these system functions are compiled into dynamically linked libraries (DLLs). The software component is then compiled and built using the MATLAB mex® function library. This build process within the MATLAB application produces a DLL for the software component. The MATLAB-produced DLL is then used in the simulation model by associating it with a user defined S-function.

This will allow to accurately simulate several modes of operation and to improve the control algorithms in the real application, once the simulation results met their targets.

An S-function implement a set of methods, called callback methods that together describe the behavior of the (sub) system modelled by means of the S-function. The simulation coordinator, in our case Matlab Simulink, invokes these callback methods during the simulation.

An implementation of the S-function interface must implement the set of callback methods. Some callback methods are optional.

The simulation coordinator invokes an optional callback only if the S-function defines the callback, by a C-code included at the end of the S-function. The callback methods perform tasks required at each simulation stage. These tasks performed by the callback methods include:

- Initialization. Prior to the first simulation loop, the simulation coordinator initializes the S-function. During this stage:
  - The SimStruct is initialized. A SimStruct is a simulation S global structure that contains information about the S-function. Some library functions permit to create in it variables usable to pass values among subsequent mdlOutput calls or to store state.
  - The number and dimensions of input and output ports are set.
  - The block sample times are set.
  - The storage areas are allocated.

- Calculation of next sample hit. In case a variable sample time block is specified, this stage calculates the time of the next sample hit; that is, it calculates the next step size.

- Calculation of outputs in the major time step. After this call is complete, all the output ports of the blocks are valid for the current time step.

- Update of discrete states in the major time step. In this call, all blocks should perform once-per-timestep activities such as updating discrete states for next time around the simulation loop.

- Integration. This applies to models with continuous states and/or non-sampled zero crossings. If the S-function contains continuous states, the simulation coordinator calls the output and derivative portions of the S-function at minor time steps.

In this way, the simulation coordinator can compute the states for the S-function. If the S-function contains non-sampled zero crossings, the simulation coordinator calls the output and zero-crossings portions of the S-function at minor time steps so that the zero crossings can be located.

The main callback function together with a brief description of their functionality is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S-function</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mdlInitializeSizes</td>
<td>Specifies the number of inputs, outputs, states, and parameters and other characteristics of the S-function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mdlInitializeSampleTimes</td>
<td>Specifies the sample rates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|mdlInitializeConditions|Initializes the state variables
|mdlOutputs|Computes the output variables
|mdlUpdate|Updates the state variables
|mdlDerivatives|Computes the derivatives
|mdlZeroCrossings|Updates zero-crossing vector
|.mdlTerminate|Performs any actions required at termination of the simulation

TABLE I. FUNCTIONALITY OF THE CALLBACKS METHODS
During simulation, Simulink invokes the predefined set of callback functions of the S-function implementation in order to perform all necessary computations in the right order.

Fig. 2 shows the order in which the simulation coordinator invokes the callback methods of an S-function, during initialization and simulation respectively. Solid rectangles indicate callbacks that always occur during model initialization and/or at every time step. Dotted rectangles indicate callbacks that may occur during initialization and/or at some or all time steps during the simulation loop.

A standard S-function contains a number of functions that are called by Simulink. For instance, during a simulation step, Simulink calls mdlUpdate() to update discrete states, mdlDerivatives() to calculate derivatives, and mdlOutputs() to calculate the outputs of a block. The execution phase of each Simulink block is an iterative computation of (1) the block outputs (2) block states and (3) the next time step. The function mdlOutputs() calculates the output of the block, while mdlUpdate() updates the block states.

Code errors will be notified and serious problems are caused by run-time errors; S-function code is executed by Simulink and errors often cause a Simulink failure, which often is solved only by a MATLAB reboot.

V. EXAMPLE OF SIMULINK – COMDES TRANSLATION AND CO-SIMULATION

In order to illustrate how to apply the translations rules defined in the former chapter, the tank level control example is taken [7], Fig. 3.

Applying the transformation rules, we derive the COMDES state machine model first, and then the function blocks associated with states. The flat Stateflow state machine contains three states and three switching signals connected to the control input port of switch blocks Switch1, Switch2 and Switch3. By applying the translation algorithm steps 1-4, we get a state machine with 8 states, and, finally after step 5, we end up with a 6 states state machine. The final step 6 attaches dataflow to the states.

Applying the transformation rules, we derive the COMDES state machine model first, and then the function blocks associated with states. The flat Stateflow state machine contains three states and three switching signals connected to the control input port of switch blocks Switch1, Switch2 and Switch3. By applying the translation algorithm steps 1-4, we get a state machine with 8 states, and, finally after step 5, we end up with a 6 states state machine. The final step 6 attaches dataflow to the states.

For example, state \textit{Low} has the following Simulink diagram, Fig. 4.

We translate the MATLAB function into a Simulink diagram again, Fig. 5.

Combining the last two diagrams, we have the resulting Simulink diagram for state \textit{Low}, Fig. 6.

We derive the COMDES function block diagram for state \textit{Low} by applying the mapping tables and causality, as well as for the other states, following similar procedures, Fig. 7.

The simulation model is including the software model by wrapper file template, which in turn is compiled into a windows DLL component and used by Simulink in performing simulations.
The implementation of the callback methods of the COMDES S-function block are defined for each of the functions mentioned in the previous section. As an example, in the case of mdlInitializeSizes, the discrete variables of the process are mapped to the discrete state variables of the S-function block. The continuous variables and the variable time of the process are mapped to the continuous state variables of the S-function block. The number of input ports is set to the number of input variables that are specified in the formal parameter list of the model. The number of output ports is set to the number of output variables as specified in the parameters of the COMDES S-function block. The number of sample times is set to 1. The number of zero crossings is set to the number of occurrences of guard operators plus 1 for the time events.

mdlInitializeSampleTimes is implemented by setting the sample time of the S-function, plus a set of sample times with offset 0. mdlInitializeConditions is implemented such that the initial values for the state variables from the S-function are obtained from the valuation from the process, using the variable mapping as defined in function mdlInitializeSizes. mdlOutputs is copying the values of the discrete and continuous variables and the variable time to the corresponding output variables. If the simulation step is a major time-step, it is determined whether a time event occurred. If the mdlUpdate function is called for the first time (ssIsFirstInitCond(S) holds, where S denotes the SimStruct), the process is simulated using the Simulink simulator. If a time event occurred (IsTimeEvent holds), which is determined in function mdlOutputs, the resulting process is obtained using the end-valuation of the time transition (EndState(TimeStep)). After that, this process is simulated using the simulator.

In the same way, other functions like mdlZeroCrossings, mdlTerminate, are implemented.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we proposed a methodology of transforming Simulink control models into COMDES software component-based models. Model-based design, used to its fullest, provides translation-connected design environments that enable developers to use Simulink as a single model of their entire system for visualization and validation, and ultimately software product deployment, with consistent automatic code generation.

The advantages of using COMDES as a software design and implementation method of Simulink control models are the straightforward of the translation, well structured design, feasibility of verification and validation by combining different techniques, reusability of parts of these in relation to reuse of components, and cost-efficient development of code. We intend to improve the translation to handle other Simulink semantics.

The model-based development process benefits from control-software co-design, resulting faster and shorter development cycles and earlier testing. Maintenance of the control algorithms becomes easy because control algorithm models are safely translated into component-based software architectures, and wrapping back software components into a library of simulation components, Simulink is used as a testing tool too. In this way, a simulation tool independent representation of the control algorithms has been achieved. The new opportunities for checking and the clear division between control algorithm and simulation code has been appreciated.
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